ABSTRACT
We aimed to study the efficacy of transseptal suturing in patients undergoing septoplasty and to compare the surgical outcome and complications of transseptal suturing with nasal packing. We conducted a prospective, comparative, randomized study in Deen Dayal Upadhyaya hospital New Delhi from July 2016 to May 2017. A total of 60 patients with symptomatic Deviated nasal septum within age group of 18 to 60 years were included in our study. All these patients underwent septoplasty and were randomly divided into two group of nasal packing and transseptal suturing. Patients were interviewed and assessed for nasal pain, nasal bleeding, epiphora, dryness of mouth, sleep disturbances. Mean Pain score using VAS was 8 in nasal packing group and 2.3 in transseptal suturing group in 48 hours observation period, moreover mean score of 8 on VAS was observed during pack removal in nasal packing group. None of the patient in transseptal suturing group underwent nasal bleeding thus none of them required add on nasal packing. 100% patients in medicated gauze nasal packing group presented with epiphora, headache, sleep disturbances, and dryness of mouth in 48 hours observation period, whereas none of the patient in transseptal suturing group presented with such symptom. None of the patient in either group presented with postoperative septal perforation, synechiae formation, crusting, septal haematoma during 1st and 4th week diagnostic nasal endoscopy. Even with limited resources of a government district hospital we were able to conclude transseptal suturing as better and efficient method to minimize the sufferings of patients undergoing septoplasty.
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INTRODUCTION
Septoplasty is a tissue sparing procedure where symptomatic septal deviation is corrected by minimal resection of cartilage and bone done by “COTTLE’S MAXILLA PREMAXILLA TECHNIQUE”. Septum is stabilized post procedure by different methods namely (a)Nasal packing which can be done by medicated gauze piece, paraffingauze, BIPP, nasal tampon, fibrin glue etc. (b) Nasal splints(c) Transseptal suturing or Quilting. Conventionally nasal packing is done after septoplasty. Its advantages are; to approximate septal muco-perichondrial flaps mechanically, to prevent bleeding, to prevent septal haematoma formation, to stabilize the repositioned cartilage and bony septum and to prevent synechiae formation between septum and lateral nasal wall. Disadvantages of nasal packing are that it inevitably leads to intense pain while packing, with pack in situ and during pack removal, Packing bypasses nasal respiration and respiratory function in the patients with negative impact on quality of sleep. Nasal packing irritates the nasal mucosa and adversely affect mucociliary action. Thus patient can have epiphora, swallowing...
difficulty, dryness of mouth, headache etc. Transseptal suturing instead of nasal packing has advantages that, apart from providing adequate stability to septal flaps, it also has better patient compliance. There is minimal postoperative pain and discomfort and lesser demand for analgesia. There is added advantage that mucociliary activity is preserved and packing dependent reactions are not observed.

AIM
To study the efficacy of transseptal suturing in patients undergoing septoplasty

OBJECTIVES
1) To compare the surgical outcomes of transseptal suturing with nasal packing in patients undergoing septoplasty.
2) To compare the complications of transseptal suturing with nasal packing in patients undergoing septoplasty.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
The present study was conducted in the Department of Otorhinolaryngology in Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Hospital, New Delhi.

STUDY DESIGN
Prospective, Comparative and Randomised study.

DURATION OF STUDY

SAMPLE SIZE
Sample Size was determined based on efficacy of transseptal suturing versus nasal packing in patients undergoing septoplasty (in terms of VAS). With reference to previous study, the mean reported pain was calculated as 2.3 in the Suturing group and 4.8 in the packing group. The total sample size was set as 60 (30 per group) calculated from an effect size of 0.83, a power of 90%, and \( \alpha \) of 0.05 where the standard deviation of two groups was 3.

STUDY POPULATION
 Patients visiting E.N.T outpatient department were selected according to inclusion and exclusion criteria.

INCLUSION CRITERIA
Symptomatic deviated nasal septum above the age of 18 and less than the age of 60 years for both genders.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA
1) History of previous nasal surgery.
2) Presence of chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis.
3) Presence of inferior turbinate hypertrophy.
4) Uncontrolled Diabetes mellitus.
5) Uncontrolled hypertension.
6) Blood disorders.
7) Patients on anticoagulant therapy.
8) Sino nasal neoplasm.

DATA COLLECTION
After taking detailed medical history and clinical examination, preoperative investigations were done. Informed and written consent was obtained and the patients were posted for Septoplasty. Patients were randomly divided in two groups post operatively.

Group A: Transseptal suture without nasal packing.
Group B: Medicated gauze nasal packing.

Patients of both the groups were kept in post operative observation for 30 minutes with head elevated by 30 degrees thereafter minimum 48 hours of hospital stay for both the groups. Inj Ampicillin, Inj. Paracetamol, Inj Ranitidine and Tab Levocetrizine was given to all for 48 hours. Nasal pack was removed gently after 48 hours. Nasal douching (with warm water, normal salt and baking soda) was started after 48 hours in patients of both the groups.

METHOD OF SEPTOPLASTY AND TRANSSEPTAL SUTURING/QUILTING
We performed septoplasty by ‘Cottle’s maxilla –premaxilla technique’ using conventional
headlight. Quilting was also performed using headlight in the patients randomly selected for the same. 
1) In this method the septum is quilted with 3-0 vicryl. 
2) Prior to quilting few knots will be placed at the distal end of suture. 
3) Shaft of the needle and its natural curve are kept in same plane as handle of needle holder. 
4) Initial suture is placed in cartilaginous part of the septum just anterior to midpoint of middle turbinate. 
5) Needle is passed through the septum to opposite side and after few millimeters it is passed again to opposite side through the septum, thus creating a quilting effect. 
6) Suture is then brought forward to caudal end and stitch is placed so that incision is closed by suture. 

OUTCOME MEASURES
Patients were interviewed and assessed on first and second post operative day for nasal bleeding, epiphora, headache, sleep disturbances and dryness of mouth. These were reported as present or absent. 

Patients were evaluated for nasal pain preoperatively and postoperatively at 24 hours and 48 hours in both the groups and also during pack removal in medicated gauze piece packing group. Visual Analogue Scale was used for pain assessment.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Categorical variables were presented in number and percentage (%) and continuous variables were presented as mean ± SD and median. Normality of data was tested by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. If the normality was rejected then non parametric test was used.

Statistical tests were applied as follows -
All the patients were followed up on first and fourth post operative week with Diagnostic nasal endoscopic examination and they were assessed for, septal haematoma, synechiae, crust formation and septal perforation.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Categorical variables were presented in number and percentage (%) and continuous variables were presented as mean ± SD and median. Normality of data was tested by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. If the normality was rejected then non parametric test was used.

Statistical tests were applied as follows -
1. Quantitative variables were compared using Unpaired t-test/Mann-Whitney Test (when the data sets were not normally distributed) between the two groups. 
2. Qualitative variables were correlated using Chi-Square test/Fisher's exact test. 

A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The data was entered in MS EXCEL spreadsheet and analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0.

RESULTS
NASAL PAIN
In the study mean pain score using VAS during peroperative period was found to be 5 in nasal packing group and 2.07 in transseptal suturing group. Postoperative mean pain score was 8 in nasal packing group whereas it was 2.3 in transseptal suturing group during 48 hours observation period. Moreover mean score of 8
was observed during pack removal in nasal packing group.

POSTOPERATIVE SYMPTOMATOLOGY
Nasal bleeding, Epiphora, Sleep disturbances, Dryness of mouth, Headache.

In the study all 30 patients (100%) in medicated gauze nasal packing presented with dryness of mouth, epiphora, sleep disturbances, headache whereas none of the patient in transseptal suturing group had similar complaints. No patient of either group presented with nasal bleed, no repacking required in any of ‘quilting’ group.

POSTOPERATIVE DIAGNOSTIC NASAL ENDOSCOPY 1 & 4 WEEK
No patient in any group presented with Septal haematoma, Septal perforation, Crust formation or Synechiae when they were followed up in first and fourth post operative DNE.

GRAPHS

Graph (a): Per Operative Pain

Graph (b): Post Operative Pain at 24 & 48 Hrs.

POSTOPERATIVE SYMPTOMATOLOGY
Epiphora, Sleep disturbances, Dryness of mouth: 100% patients in packing group presented with these symptoms in 48 hours observation period whereas none of the patient in quilting group had these symptoms.

Graph (c) Pain on pack removal

POSTOPERATIVE SYMPTOMATOLOGY
Epiphora, Sleep disturbances, Dryness of mouth: 100% patients in packing group presented with these symptoms in 48 hours observation period whereas none of the patient inquilting group had these symptoms.

Graph (e) Postoperative nasal bleeding
No patient in any group presented with postoperative nasal bleeding.

POSTOPERATIVE DIAGNOSTIC NASAL ENDOSCOPY 1 & 4 WEEK
No patient in any group presented with Septal haematoma, Septal perforation, Excessive Crust formation or Synechiae when they were followed up in first and fourth week post operative DNE.

Graph (f) DNE 1st & 4th week
DISCUSSION
NASAL PAIN
In the study patients with nasal packing have higher pain score preoperatively (mean of 5 on VAS) and mean of 8 on both 1st and 2nd postoperative days. An additional mean score of 8 was observed during pack removal in this group. Patients in transseptal suturing group had mean score as 2.07 per-operatively whereas a score of 2.3 on 1st and 2nd postoperative day. This difference was statistically significant. It is seen because pressure applied by medicated gauze nasal pack on nasal wall, results in more pain sensation. Similar observations were made in previous studies, viz. Curukova et al reported a mean pain score as 4.8 in nasal packing group and 2.3 in transseptal suturing group and this result was statistically significant. Awan et al observed that common pain score postoperatively was 10 in packing group and 1 in non packing group. Walliker et al in their study found that 79.3% of patients with nasal pack experienced postoperative pain as compared to 25.7% in patients without pack. Naghibzadeh et al mentioned in their study that all patients (n=77) in nasal packing group had severe pain, while only 2 out of 68 patients without nasal pack experienced such pain.

POSTOPERATIVE SYMPTOMATOLOGY
NASAL BLEEDING
None of the patients in the study had significant nasal bleed requiring packing in transseptal suturing group (only minimal oozing was observed postoperatively) or repacking in nasal packing group. A review of literature corroborates our finding. Ansari et al in their study observed postoperative nasal bleeding in 11.43% (n=8) in the nasal packing group and 7.14% (n=5) in septal suturing group and reported difference as insignificant. Curukova et al in their study found that 4 patients (11%) in suturing group and 6 patients (1.8%) in packing group suffered nasal bleeding post septoplasty but the difference was not statistically significant. Saidet al in their study, reported no significant difference in postoperative nasal bleeding among the two groups however patients of nasal packing group experienced mild bleeding at the time of pack removal.

EPIPHORA
In the study none of the patients in the transseptal suturing group experienced postoperative epiphora whereas, 100% patients in the nasal packing group experienced it. Thus there is statistically significant difference between the two groups. Epiphora occurs due to the excessive pressure of the pack within the nasal cavity which results in obstruction of naso-lacrimal duct and diversion of lacrimal flow outside the nasal cavity. An agreement with our results was observed in previous studies. Awan et al in their study found that all patients 100% in packing group experienced epiphora as compared to 11.4% in transseptal suturing group. Said et al observed that 100% patients in packing group had epiphora as compared to transseptal suturing group where 86% ‘did not’ develop it. Anand et al in their study, observed that 95% of patients in packing group suffered epiphora when compared to only 5% in the group with septal clips.

HEADACHE
In the study all the patients with nasal packing experienced headache and required to puf analgesia in the postoperative period. Headache subsided only after pack removal done after 48 hours whereas none of the patients experienced headache in the suturing group neither required top up analgesia. This is because the nasal pack has more mass bulk which causes obstruction of sinus ostia and impaired drainage with stasis of secretion, making patients more prone to headache. Similar observations were made in various previous studies, viz. Awan et al found that 90.9% of patients in packing group experienced postoperative headache as compared to 20.5% of patients in septal suturing group. Walliker et al observed that the majority of packing group patients developed headache 61 out of 77 as compared...
to non packing group where only 19 out of 74 patients had such event. Said et al observed a statistically significant difference between nasal packing and transseptal suturing group with respect to postoperative headache p<0.01. Daniel et al observed statistically significant difference between nasal packing and transseptal suturing group in their study p<0.01.

SLEEP DISTURBANCES
In the study 100% patients in packing group experienced statistically significant difference in sleep duration and quality when compared to transseptal suturing group. This can be attributed to mechanical obstruction of the airway due to nasal pack along with higher pain level. Nearly similar results were observed while reviewing previous studies, viz. Awan et al observed that 81.1% of patients in packing group had less than 6 hours of sleep on night of surgery compared with only 16.2% in non packing group (p<0.05). Saidet al reported a statistically significant difference between nasal packing and transseptal suturing group p<0.001.

DRYNESS OF MOUTH
In the study 100% patients in nasal packing group experienced dryness of mouth when compared to transseptal suturing group where none of the patient had the complaint. Anand et al reported that all 40 patients 100% in nasal packing group experienced dryness of mouth when compared to only 4 patients 10% in group with nasal clip. Not much literature was found while assessing this variable.

CONCLUSION
Present study reveals that transseptal suturing in patients undergoing septoplasty is a beneficial option as the patients were comfortable per-operatively and postoperatively with minimal pain. Moreover additional disturbing symptoms like headache, epiphora, dryness of mouth, sleep disturbances are negligible. Since no nasal pack or tampons were used the cost of these packing materials along with ointment or cream is eliminated. Also no extra suture is used thus making this procedure cheaper and cost effective. Patients undergoing septoplasty with nasal packing experienced more pain while packing, with pack in situ and during pack removal. They also experienced all the above mentioned disturbing symptoms up to 48 hours thereby making their life miserable during their stay in the hospital. Transseptal suturing is a tedious procedure for the beginners though can be easily performed with practice. Surgeon should also ensure that the needle does not hit the lateral nasal wall during Quiltingso as to prevent synechiae formation and excessive nasal bleeding. Thus it can be concluded that transseptal suturing can be performed in all patients undergoing septoplasty as it is not only a cost effective procedure but also it improves patients' quality of life postoperatively thus making both patient and surgeon comfortable during postoperative period. Taking the benefits into consideration we strongly recommend transseptal suturing to be performed routinely after septoplasty.

NASAL ENDOSCOPIC EVALUATION AT 1ST AND 4TH WEEK POSTOPERATIVELY
SEPTAL HAEMATOMA
None of the patient in the study presented with septal haematoma during follow up. Review of literature holds agreement with our observation. Curukova et al observed no septal haematoma in their study. Ansari et al mentioned in their study report that there were 2 cases of septal haematoma in suturing group and none with this complication in packing group. Rakeshet al observed in their study that 2 patients in transseptal suturing group had septal haematoma whereas none of the patients in packing(nasal tampon or medicated gauze piece) had similar complaints. Said et al did not report any case in either group with septal haematoma.

SYNECHIAE
In our study no patients had synechiae formation as observed during follow up DNE at 1st and 4th week. Naghibzadehet al presented in their study a statistically non significant risk reduction in synechiae formation in non packing group. Similar results were obtained in the studies of Nunez. Awan et al found in their study a higher rate of synechiae formation in (18.2%) in packing
group versus 0% in non packing group. Said et al observed synechiae formation in 2 patients in packing group at the time of 4th week DNE. It has been observed that nasal packing makes the nasal mucosa raw and thus more susceptible to synechiae formation. Synechiae can be prevented by minimal manipulation of turbinates, carefully handling the septal mucosa and by meticulous use of instruments.

CRUST FORMATION
None of the patient in the study had crust during follow up DNE at 1st and 4th week as all the patients in the study were advised alkaline nasal douching post operatively. Rakeshet al observed that 10 patients in medicated gauze nasal packing and 2 patients in merocel pack group had crust formation, whereas none in septal suturing group had the complaint. Thapa et al in their study reported crust formation in 3 from 44 patients in suturing group and 9 out of 41 patients in BIPP packed group, and the difference was statistically significant. Said et al mentioned in their study that there were 2 patients with crust formation in suturing group and 4 patients in packing group. This review of previous studies holds true with our finding though a statistically insignificant occurrence of crust formation was seen in either of the group.

SEPTAL PERFORATION
In current study septal perforation was not reported in any case postoperatively. Studies done by Kula, Naghibzadeh, Gunaydin showed similar results. Curukova et al reported that 8 cases (2.2%) in suturing group and 11 cases (3.2%) in packing group presented with postoperative septal perforation but this result was statistically not significant. No case of septal perforation was reported by Said et al, which corroborates with our finding.

CONCLUSION
Present study reveals that transseptal suturing in patients undergoing septoplasty is a beneficial option as the patients were comfortable per-operatively and postoperatively with minimal pain. Moreover additional disturbing symptoms like headache, epiphora, dryness of mouth, sleep disturbances are negligible. Since no nasal pack or tampons were used the cost of these packing materials along with ointment or cream is eliminated. Also no extra suture is used thus making this procedure cheaper and cost effective. Patients undergoing septoplasty with nasal packing experienced more pain while packing, with pack in situ and during pack removal. They also experienced all the above mentioned disturbing symptoms up to 48 hours thereby making their life miserable during their stay in the hospital. Transseptal suturing is a tedious procedure for the beginners though can be easily performed with practice. Surgeon should also ensure that the needle does not hit the lateral nasal wall during Quilting so as to prevent synechiae formation and excessive nasal bleeding. Thus it can be concluded that transseptal suturing can be performed in all patients undergoing septoplasty as it is not only a cost effective procedure but also it improves patients’ quality of life postoperatively thus making both patient and surgeon comfortable during postoperative period. Taking the benefits into consideration we strongly recommend transseptal suturing to be performed routinely after septoplasty.
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